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# 1. Introduction

* 1. Understanding Loneliness and Its Evolution

Loneliness is a core aspect of human existence and stems from our evolutionary need for belonging (Hawkley and Capitanio, 2015). It represents a lack of meaningful social connections within the life of the individual (Tomova et al., 2020). Throughout history, shifts away from collectivist living toward a greater emphasis on individualism have contributed to its rise.

Our fast-paced world, fuelled by mobility and technological advancements, has been linked to increased levels of loneliness across many age groups (Coget et al., 2002). Current UK government surveys reveal that nearly half the population reports feelings of loneliness ([Gov.UK](http://gov.uk/) 2021). Research points to particularly high rates among younger generations, particularly young adults (Matthews et al. 2018; von Soest et al. 2020).

* 1. The Impact of Loneliness on Health and Well-being

Loneliness arises from a mismatch between the desired social relationships of a person and their current state (Cacioppo et al. 2015). Though sometimes confused with solitude, the two are distinct (de Jong Gierveld and van Tilburg 2016). Chronic loneliness ranks among the most serious threats to overall well-being (Cacioppo and Patrick 2008). Research links it to accelerated aging, reduced immune function (Balter et al. 2019), increased cancer severity (Zhao et al. 2023), and a health toll comparable to heavy smoking (Holt-Lunstad et al. 2015). In extreme cases, loneliness can spiral into self-isolation (Barlow et al. 2015).

While this may feel like an insurmountable issue, effective strategies exist for managing and reducing loneliness (Killeen 1998; Rokach 2018). Connecting with others offers profound benefits that can combat isolation and boost contentment (Epley and Schroeder 2014; Poerio et al. 2015). In our technology-driven world, there is even evidence that online friendships can help (Bouwman et al. 2016), though face-to-face encounters are still vital for physical well-being (Lima et al. 2017). Shared activities and experiences enhance self-confidence (Savikko et al. 2010). It is no surprise that the drive for meaningful connections has spurred countless applications since the earliest days of the internet (Strassman, 2004).

1.3 Combatting Loneliness: Strategies and Technological Interventions

Profile-driven suggestions have become essential to many of these platforms (Mazhari et al., 2015). Yet, as loneliness takes centre stage, a growing number of apps try to tackle various aspects – often as commercial endeavours (Sai Samrat Malka et al., 2016). Profits dictate their direction, making them narrow targets within larger niche markets (Grayson, 2007). Some help locate suitable venues (Brennan, 2015), link those sharing common interests on a theoretical level (Roozbeh Nia et al., 2013), or even act as a temporary conversation partner (Della Longa et al., 2022), albeit often involving a cost.

Studies have indicated that social connections within online platforms may not accurately reflect genuine user interactions (Wilson et al., 2012; Vitak et al., 2015). Concerns arise that many apps promote short-term engagement rather than the deep connections humans crave (Solovyeva and Laskin, 2022). Successful online platforms thrive on keeping users glued to their screens (Van Alstyne and Parker, 2017; Rietveld et al., 2017). While important for relationship management, these priorities clash with the goals of new relationship generation, with long-term satisfaction often taking a back seat to immediate income (L’Ecuyer et al., 2017).

To address loneliness more effectively, it is important to venture beyond typical people-pairing. Enabling individuals to express their core values and outlooks with in-depth profiles has proven to build deeper bonds (Kardas et al., 2021). In parallel, promoting face-to-face events cantered around shared passions adds layers to engagement (Andersen & Przybylinski, 2018). Additionally, an AI conversational guide within the app could bridge the gap for those struggling to initiate interactions. Users could receive support with scenario-based practice or ice breaker suggestions along with general conversational tips.

This holistic approach goes beyond superficial digital connection with the added benefits of removing conflict-inducing features like comment sections and algorithmic feeds in an aim to actively reduce loneliness for users and foster more meaningful connections.

# 2. Project Evaluation (Methods)

The evaluation of this social media application will be conducted in phases, designed to assess, and enhance the platform. This structured approach ensures testing and feedback-driven refinement of each aspect. Due to limited time the conventional evaluation methods in the social media field, are not feasible (Sabbeh 2019).  
  
2.1 Phase 1: Strategy Refinement

* Technology Acceptance Model (TAM): In conjunction with target audience analysis, TAM will be implemented. This model offers methodologies and unique metrics to analyse the adoption and implementation of innovative technologies across diverse settings (Al-Qaysi et al. 2020). It has been used on Facebook (Rauniar et al. 2014), and TikTok (Al-Khasawneh et al. 2022) and even on linked in (Khan et al. 2021) to help identify key features and barriers, prior to lunching the application.
* Short Comings: A lexicon-based approach will be employed to identify critical concerns within current social media platforms (Abdullah Azhari and Fang 2015). This method analyses language to identify key problems and essential features needing attention. Similarly, Cha et al. (2022) applied this technique on LinkedIn to detect signs of depression.
* Scoping: An optimally suited incremental approach will be employed for this project (Pop et al. 2001). This entails the development of a feature hierarchy plan to decompose and prioritize increments. In conjunction with agile methodologies, a feedback loop driven by metrics and retrospective analysis will identify and rectify process inefficiencies (Hodgetts 2019).

2.2 Phase 2: Establishing Success Criteria

* Developing a Framework for Meaningful Connections: Existing psychological and social research will be used to define parameters for meaningful connections (e.g., emotional support, shared experiences, depth of conversation using NLP). A scoring system will be derived from these parameters.
* Developing scenarios: Users will explore use-case scenarios designed to demonstrate the key features of the application.
* Success metrics: User engagement data, objective completion rates, and satisfaction survey results will be collected during the scenarios and will provide a quantifiable evaluation.
* Iterative Metrics Development: Success metrics will be refined iteratively, based on feedback.

2.3 Phase 3: Structured Peer Review Process

* Recruitment and Briefing: Enlisting a diverse group of peers defining the review process, outlining expectations, and specifying evaluation areas.
* Feedback Collection: A structured feedback form will be designed to capture quantitative ratings on a best suited 1-5 scale (Svensson 2001) alongside qualitative insights for each scenario.
* Feedback Channels: Proven and effective in-app feedback mechanisms will be integrated to collect ongoing user insights (Cao et al. 2010).

2.4 Phase 4: Data Analysis and Synthesis

* Compiling Feedback: Formulas will be developed to systematically analyse the collected feedback data.
* Qualitative Analysis: Qualitative analysis techniques will be used to classify open-ended feedback, revealing opportunities for improvement.
* Comparative Analysis: The data will be cross-referenced with Phase 1 success criteria and benchmarks to determine the efficacy of the app in meeting objectives. Further, benchmark the data against similar existing applications.
* Scope Adjustment: Based on feedback, a priority shift might be needed such as key features refinements over new ones. Including improving chatbots and matchmaking algorithms, ensuring they meet the established success criteria.
* Report Generation: Generating a detailed report, highlighting both strengths and potential enhancements. Will Utilize visual aids (charts, graphs) to illustrate quantitative data and spotlight core qualitative insights.

2.5 Phase 5: Iterative Improvement and Re-evaluation

* Feedback Loop: Agile development will be followed to prioritize and swiftly address user feedback. If the evaluation score is below satisfactory, the feature will have priority over new development.
* Continuous Evaluation: A schedule will be established for regular reassessments, utilizing consistent success criteria and evaluation methods to monitor progress.
* Documentation for Replicability: Detailed records will be preserved during the evaluation process. Including data collection methods, analysis procedures, and adjustments made in response to findings.

# 3. Project Progress

3.1 Initial Research

Initial research was conducted that revealed potential features and preferences that existing applications lack. The results highlighted a significant interest in an application focused on creating genuine connections, user experience, and meaningful interaction being top priorities. This preliminary data underscores the viability of the project and guides feature development.

3.2 Legal and Ethical Considerations

A concise evaluation of legal and ethical frameworks was conducted to verify the application adherence to data protection regulations, including GDPR, and to uphold ethical principles related to user privacy and data security.

3.3 Technology Stack Selection

The MERN stack (MongoDB, Express.js, React, Node.js) was chosen for its robustness, scalability, and the supportive community behind each technology. The LangChain framework was selected to develop the chatbot, offering advanced conversational capabilities. Machine learning components are being built with Python.

3.4 Hosting and Deployment

* IONOS cloud services were chosen for hosting, providing a secure and cost-effective environment for the application. Docker containerization is employed to facilitate seamless deployment and reduce compatibility issues.
* CI/CD with GitHub Actions: Implements automated workflows for testing and deployment, where GitHub Actions play a crucial role in ensuring code updates are automatically tested and deployed to IONOS.
* NGINX as Reverse Proxy: Incorporates NGINX to serve as a reverse proxy, enhancing security, load balancing, and SSL termination. This setup improves the management of traffic and provides an additional layer of defence against web-based threats.

3.5 Documentation and Experimentation

* Development Lifecycle Documentation: Comprehensive documentation covers all aspects, including requirement analysis, system design, development notes, and deployment strategies, ensuring a well-documented lifecycle.
* GitHub for Version Control
* Reduced risks: Efforts to alleviate the technical skill barrier included experimenting with Docker for easy project containerization. The adoption of React Redux for faster state management across the application enhances navigation speed and user experience. Additionally, the integration of real-time chat functionality using Socket.IO ensures dynamic data interaction with the database, making real-time chat possible.

3.6 Expanded Testing and Validation

* API Testing: Swagger is used for designing, documenting, and exploring APIs, while Postman handles testing and automation, ensuring APIs meet specifications and perform as expected.
* Unit Testing: Validates each code unit functionality in isolation, using frameworks like Jest to ensure reliability and bug minimization.
* Performance Testing: Assessing the speed, responsiveness, and stability of the application under a heavy workload.
* Security Testing: Identifies vulnerabilities and risks, aiming to safeguard them from unauthorized access. Techniques such as JWT (JSON Web Tokens) are employed to enhance it.

4. Project Management

Transitioning to a more flexible and adaptive project management approach, like agile iterations or sprints, provides a dynamic framework that is better suited to the fast-paced and evolving nature of software development. This method allows for rapid adjustments to project requirements and objectives, enhancing responsiveness and efficiency.

4.1 Sprint 0: Research and Planning

* Objective: Establish project groundwork through above mentioned strategy refinement and metric settings.
* Milestones:
  + Complete market analysis and user needs assessment.
  + Finalize project scope and feature set.
  + Develop initial wireframes and user journey maps to guide design and development.

4.2 Sprint 1: Design and Prototyping

* Objective: Create UI/UX designs and develop an interactive prototype for early user feedback.
* Milestones:
  + Finalize UI/UX designs, adhering to best practices and research findings.
  + Develop an interactive prototype ready for initial user testing.
  + Incorporate Agile methodologies by organizing work into a sprint backlog, prioritizing tasks based on the prototype feedback.

4.3 Sprint 2-3: Development Phase 1

* Objective: Build core functionalities focusing on user registration, profile creation, and basic networking features.
* Milestones:
  + Implement essential features: user registration, profile setup, posting, chatting, and networking capabilities.
  + Adopt Continuous Integration (CI) practices to automate code integration, ensuring code quality.
  + Create sequence diagrams and use case diagrams to visualize and refine the development process.

4.4 Sprint 4: Testing and Feedback Loop 1

* Objective: Conduct usability and functionality testing to refine the application-based feedback.
* Milestones:
  + Complete initial rounds of user, API, and unit testing.
  + Perform a mock deployment to test the deployment process and environment.
  + Utilizing feedback to prioritize the development backlog, adjusting future sprints as necessary.

4.5 Sprint 5-6: Development Phase 2

* Objective: Enhance the application by adding advanced features and algorithms for user matching and interaction.
* Milestones:
  + Implement an advanced matching algorithm and develop an AI helper feature.
  + Integrate Continuous Delivery (CD) practices to streamline the release process, allowing for faster and more reliable updates.
  + Update design documents and diagrams to reflect new changes.

4.6 Sprint 7: Testing and Feedback Loop 2

* Objective: Finalize testing focusing on newly developed features and overall application performance.
* Milestones:
  + Conduct comprehensive testing of new features and system performance.
  + Gather and analyse user feedback to make final adjustments before launch.
  + Prepare for final deployment, ensuring all CI/CD pipelines are optimized for continuous updates post-launch.

4.7 Risk Assessment and Contingency Planning

* Technical Challenges: Unexpected technical issues could delay development. Contingency: Allocating additional time for problem-solving and consider consulting with supervisor if necessary.
* Data Security and Privacy Concerns: Implementing data in a way that complies with GPDR and ethical policies.
* Project Delays: Delays in any phase affecting overall timelines. Contingency: Apart from task prioritisation, the incremental approach will be the key to have something to fall back on, implementing each feature at the time.

# 5. What next?

In the next phase of the project, we will focus on finalizing development.
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